

**Fish oil supplements are  
good. No, worthless.  
Wait—they work!**



Amidst the excitement over a major research group's vindication of meat last week (*NYTimes*: "Eat Less Red Meat, Scientists Said. Now Some Believe That Was Bad Advice"), news of another stunning reversal was eclipsed.

"Omega-3 fish oil supplements linked with lower cardiovascular disease risk" – *ScienceDaily*: People who received omega-3 fish oil supplements in randomized clinical trials had lower risks of heart attack and other cardiovascular disease (CVD) events

compared with those who were given placebo.

I'm a veteran of the Omega 3 Wars. I was in med school in the 80s when excitement mounted over fish oil's potential to dramatically curtail cardiovascular risk. This was spawned by the observation that Inuit Eskimos were virtually free of heart attacks despite eating a diet high in animal protein and fat—mostly from Arctic fish and marine mammals rich in EPA and DHA.

And it made sense. Fish oil has blood-thinning effects, preventing platelets from sticking together. It's also anti-inflammatory, curbing the impetus to plaque formation. It may be vasodilating, helping to lower blood pressure and promote circulation. EPA lowers triglycerides, thought to be even more important than cholesterol as a determinant of cardiovascular risk. And Omega 3s may even have anti-arrhythmic benefits.

In 2009, a survey revealed that “72 percent of the nation's cardiologists recommend dietary supplements to their patients, and more than half (57 percent) take supplements themselves, with the most popular being multivitamins, omega-3/fish oils and vitamin C.”

But a handful of negative trials has recently dampened enthusiasm over fish oil supplements. Just last year, the *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)* was quick to pounce: “Another Nail in the Coffin for Fish Oil Supplements”

*The New York Times* piled on, headlining “Fish Oil Claims Not Supported by Research”

A cardiologist recanted his previous advice to patients: “Why this cardiologist is no longer recommending fish oil supplements”

But, just as fish oil supplements were proclaimed worthless, a study called REDUCE-IT demonstrated that Amarin

Pharmaceuticals' concentrated EPA drug, Vascepa, curtailed cardiovascular events by an astounding 25%!

Amarin stock soared on the announcement; Slick drug ads began to appear in print and on TV, touting the advantages of pharmaceutical-grade EPA in Vascepa over store-bought brands of fish oil.

Amarin's website highlights "The Vascepa Difference", impugning non-prescription fish oil pills: *"Dietary supplements such as common fish oils and Omega-3s are not FDA-approved. It's important to know that they are not intended nor proven safe or effective to treat patients with medical conditions such as very high triglycerides."*

WebMD parrots this distinction, resurrecting the worn shibboleth: *"The FDA doesn't regulate supplements as closely as prescriptions. So the amount of omega-3s listed on the label may be higher than what you actually get. In addition, supplements may not be pure omega-3s and may contain other ingredients or contaminants."*

Admittedly, credit is due to Amarin for undertaking the expensive and time-consuming process of validating and earning coveted FDA approval for their concentrated, high-dose form of EPA. They've earned the right to push back against supplement makers' attempts to draft on their intellectual property to promote ordinary fish oil pills.

But how to reconcile the contradiction that prescription fish oil works while over-the-counter supplements are valueless?

I decried this paradoxical state of affairs last year in an article entitled "Fish oil declared worthless for heart disease . . . until it became a profitable drug!" I wrote:

*"Double-standard much?? When fish oil is sold in health food stores it's worthless, the barb of snarky headlines. But when it's packaged as a profitable prescription drug—well now*

*that's news we can use!"*

The new study addresses the conundrum. The authors report that the heart benefits of fish oil supplements may have been previously obscured by several factors:

- Previous studies were too small to detect a statistically significant effect. When the meta-analysis was expanded to include three recent large randomized controlled clinical trials, increasing sample size by 64% (a total of 127,477 participants), a positive trend emerged.
- Doses in previous trials were too small. Some negative studies looked at the effects of just one fish oil pill (1000 mg) per day—when Amarin's successful REDUCE-IT trial explored doses of 4000 mg of EPA per day. Nevertheless, the authors of the new meta-analysis detected a modest protective effect of fish oil supplements even at amounts substantially lower than 4000 mg per day.
- These days, most people in heart disease prevention studies are taking a raft of cardioprotective drugs—aspirin, prescription blood thinners, statins and antihypertensive drugs—whose effects might mask the benefits of fish oil pills.
- Some studies may have been of too short duration to pick up an effect. It may take decades of consistent fish oil supplementation to garner benefits.

So, bottom line: balance and rationality has been restored to the Omega 3-Heart story. Take prescription fish oil if you desire and if your doctor is on board, especially if your generous medication benefits plan slashes its hefty price tag (\$380 for a two-month supply) to a modest co-pay.

But if you take regular fish oil supplements, you're not in a Fools' Paradise when it comes to heart protection.

And you may reap additional mood, brain-protective, pregnancy, eye, exercise-recovery and anti-inflammatory dividends from the DHA that's a natural accompaniment of the EPA in supplements—but not pharmaceutical concentrates like Vascepa.